

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,934	09/22/2003	Gregory Serdiuk	M219	1608
75	90 05/26/2004		EXAM	INER
Robert L. Marsh			LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE	
P.O. Box 4468 Wheaton, IL 60189-4468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
		DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/667,934	SERDIUK, GREGORY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Lee Lum	3611			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
I HE - External control contro	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	eptember 2003				
_		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Theorem 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infori	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 3611

ELECTION/RESTRICTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, as described in Claims 8 and 9, Species II, as described in Claims 1-7, Species III, as described in Claim 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 8 and 9 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3611

Communication with USPTO/Examiner

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ms. Lum at 703 305-0232, M-F, 830-530. Our fax number is 703 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature, or relating to the status of this application/proceeding, should be directed to Customer Service at 703 306-5771.

Ms. Lee S. Lum Examiner 5/19/04

Page 3